Molecular Motions in Bisphenol A Polycarbonates as Measured by Pulsed NMR Techniques. I. Homopolymers and Copolymers

DAVOR STEFAN* and H. LEVERNE WILLIAMS, Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A4, Canada

Synopsis

Molecular motions in homopolymers and copolymers based on bisphenol A polycarbonate (BAP) were investigated over a wide temperature range by means of two pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance methods: the spin-lattice relaxation time T_1 and the spin-lattice relaxation time in rotating frame, $T_{1\rho}$. Nuclear magnetic relaxation measurements of bisphenol A polycarbonate indicated the existence of four transitions in the solid amorphous state. This included the glass transition T_{α} , two transitions associated with localized motions of the main chain, T_{β} and T_{γ} , and a transition due to side-group motion, T_{δ} . The results offer new evidence for the transition T_{β} , which is ascribed to localized segmental motions of the polycarbonate chain. The physical properties of the polymer (high impact strength and a large fractional free volume in the glassy state) can be explained by the presence of the two secondary transitions T_{β} and T_{γ} , which are both associated with main-chain motions. The presence of the bulky bromine on a bisphenol A unit of a polymer chain in a position ortho to the carbonate groups restricts all motions of the main chain, thus increasing T_{α} , T_{β} , and T_{γ} . As a result of an increase in the secondary transition temperatures, impact strength is reduced at least 20-fold as compared with the unsubstituted material. The results for tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate and copolymers of bisphenol A with tetrabromobisphenol A and bisphenol A with tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate confirm the idea that the impact strength of the polymer is related to the secondary transitions $(T < T_g)$ arising from the main-chain motions. Copolymers of bisphenol A polycarbonate with tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate showed a single glass transition temperature whose value lay between those of the homopolymers. The results indicated multiple secondary transitions which corresponded to the transitions of the homopolymers with magnitudes proportional to the comonomer content.

INTRODUCTION

When the properties of polymers are measured as a function of temperature, changes are noted at specific temperatures which are associated with transitions between modes of internal motion possible for the macromolecule. This subject has been reviewed by $Boyer^{1-4}$ and others.^{5,6} The application of pulsed NMR techniques appeared promising⁷ as a means of

*Ph.D. Thesis 1972. Present address: Shaw Pipe Protection Ltd., Toronto, Canada.

© 1974 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

studying these transitions. Uses of nuclear magnetic resonance methods in general have been reviewed.⁸⁻¹¹ For the measurement of relaxation times, the pulsed techniques are used whereby the decaying signal following a radio-frequency pulse or the free induction decay under resonance conditions is observed. The pulsed technique has been used previously,^{12,13,14} but publications related to polymers have been scarce.

There are three relaxation times measurable by nuclear magnetic resonance; T_1 , spin-lattice relaxation; T_2 , spin-spin relaxation; and $T_{1\rho}$, spin-lattice relaxation time in rotating frame. The relationship of $T_{1\rho}$ to molecular motions has been discussed by Ailion and Slichter.¹⁴ Measurements of T_1 with particular emphasis on its temperature dependence have been made.^{11,15} Natural rubber shows a sharper minimum¹⁵ than expected from theory, a fact that was explained by a cooperative character of molecular motions in that polymer. Also observed¹¹ are broader minima for various polymers attributable to a broader distribution of the correlation frequencies in those polymers. An interesting compilation of the temperature dependence of correlation frequencies, including those obtained by nuclear magnetic resonance studies, is available.⁵ The present study extends the earlier one⁷ by including a wider range of polymers and by introduction of $T_{1\rho}$ measurements as well as T_1 data.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and Preparations

Polycarbonate. Bisphenol A polycarbonate (BAP), General Electric Lexan 140-111, \overline{M}_{w} 30,000.

Poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS). General Electric Silicone Fluid S-96, nominal viscosity 20 centistokes.

Tetrabromobisphenol A Polycarbonate (4BrBAP). Powder from General Electric Co., \overline{M}_w 92,500.

Tetrachlorobisphenol A/Bisphenol A Copolymer (4ClBAP/BAP). 65 wt-% tetrachlorobisphenol A/35 wt-% bisphenol A copolymer DL 444. General Electric Co.

Tetrabromobisphenol A/Bisphenol A Copolymers (4BrBAP/BAP). General Electric Co. 35 wt-% tetrabromobisphenol A/65 wt-% bisphenol A copolymer 105A-111 and 8 wt-% tetrabromobisphenol A/92 wt-% bisphenol A copolymer 2014-111.

Specimens were prepared by molding the powders or pellets into rods 7 mm in diameter and 2 cm long, followed by quenching rapidly. The specimens were annealed for one day at appropriate temperatures to relieve stresses and to remove traces of crystallinity.¹⁶

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Measurements

A Bruker SXP 4-60 (B-KR322S) pulse NMR spectrometer with variable frequency range between 4 and 62 MHz¹⁷ was used for the measurements of the relaxation times. The Tektronix TR564B storage oscilloscope was

used for signal registration. A Hewlett-Packard electronic counter 52 12A was used to measure the exact pulse durations in rotating frame mea-Measurements were made at a frequency of 30 MHz. surements. In some cases, the sample was measured at different resonant frequencies ranging from 15 to 60 MHz. The rotating frame data were taken with an H_1 (radio-frequency field) of about 30 gauss. The 90° pulse was of 2 µsec duration, and the apparatus "dead" time (t_d) following a pulse was about Temperature control of the sample was accomplished by using $3 \text{ to } 4 \mu \text{sec.}$ a quartz Dewar insert (Bruker, B-KR 300 Z11) in conjunction with the variable-temperature control unit (Bruker, B-ST 100/700).¹⁸ The heat exchanger for temperatures below 300°K was eliminated and the liquid nitrogen was evaporated directly into the system as coolant. To increase the circulation in the Dewar insert, the sample holder tube was perforated Approximately 300 l./hr of coolant, evaporated and the gas flow reversed. liquid nitrogen or compressed air (for temperatures above 300°K), was used. The temperature uncertainty was $\pm 0.3^{\circ}$ K.

The spin-lattice relaxation time T_1 was measured using the $n\pi/2$, $\pi/2$, or saturation sequence method. The relaxation in rotating frame $T_{1\rho}$ was measured similarly. A strong radio-frequency pulse was applied to the sample; and after a time equivalent to a $\pi/2$ pulse, a 90° phase shift was introduced into H₁. $T_{1\rho}$ was determined from the height of the induction decay as a function of time which gives a linear logarithmic plot for pure polymers and copolymers. The two relaxation times T_1 and $T_{1\rho}$ were thus measures of the rates of change of magnetization along the fields H₀ (external magnetic field) and H₁, respectively. The amplitude of the former was of the order of kilogauss corresponding to measurements in the radiofrequency region for protons. The latter, on the other hand, was varied from 3 to 30 gauss depending on the power of the radio-frequency transmitter available. The precision of T_1 and $T_{1\rho}$ measurements was $\pm 3\%$.

The NMR correlation frequencies (ν_c) for molecular motions were calculated by assigning molecular motions for the polymers examined and checking the results with other methods of measurements on the same or related polymers. The correlation between various experimental methods was accomplished by use of "transition" (log ν_c vs. 1/T) maps.⁵ Observation of broad T_1 and $T_{1\rho}$ minima in the experimental curves indicated the presence of a distribution of correlation frequencies. Thus, ν_c represents the center of the distribution for the observed motion. The materials studied were bis phenol A polycarbonate whose transitions have been investigated using various methods.^{5,7,16,20,21} By selecting the basic material (polycarbonate) which shows a positive relation between mechanical properties and molecular motions,³ analysis of the data was extended to the relationship between transitions and impact strength in heterogeneous polymer systems (part II, this issue).

The transitions observed in pure homopolymers were designated α , β , γ , and δ , where α indicates the glass transition temperature; β , the first transition when the temperature is lowered to $T < T_{\theta}$; and so on, to the

transition observed at the lowest temperature within the experimental range.

Impact Strength and Flexural Modulus

These were measured with an Izod impact tester according to ASTM test method D-256 (Method A) and an Instron Universal Testing Instrument following ASTM Procedure D-695, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The masses of data generated were summarized by plotting the temperature dependence of the values of T_1 and $T_{1\rho}$ rather than by attempting to record individual measurements under all experimental conditions. The temperature range was usually 100° to 500°K.

Bisphenol A Polycarbonate (BAP)

Figure 1 shows the plots for NMR relaxation times for bisphenol A polycarbonate at 33 MHz. Table I summarizes the relaxation results in terms of correlation frequencies, assigned motions, and activation energies deduced either from T_1 and $T_{1\rho}$ minima or from the transition map.⁵ All data correlated well with dielectric, mechanical, and wide-line NMR results on the temperature-frequency plot. The T_1 results agreed with the earlier data obtained at 18 MHz.⁷ The main T_1 minimum occurred at



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the NMR relaxation times for bisphenol A polycarbonate: $(\bullet) T_1$; $(\blacksquare) T_{1\rho}$.

		T_{δ}	$\sim 230^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$	\sim 156°K	5 kcal/mole	CH ₃ group	rotation	
	carbonate	T_{γ}	\sim 370°K	$\sim 220^{\circ} { m K}$	6 kcal/mole	restricted reorientation of	phenyl and carbonate group	
TABLE I	TABLE I elaxation Results for Poly	$T_{oldsymbol{eta}}$	~417°K	$\sim 285^{\circ} { m K}$	14° kcal/mole	localized segmental	motions	
NMR	$T_{oldsymbol{lpha}}$	J	470°K	1	general main-chain	motions		
	log re	7.6	5.1		ł			
			T_1 minimum	$T_{1 ho}$ minimum	ΔE	Type of motion		

 \sim 230°K. This minimum was assigned to methyl group reorientation. At ~156°K, the corresponding $T_{1\rho}$ minimum was observed. An activation energy of 5 kcal/mole was deduced from these two minima. At 470°K, another $T_{1\rho}$ minimum was observed indicating the onset of general chain backbone motions which were associated with the glass transition. At temperatures below the glass transition, another $T_{1\rho}$ "minimum" was observed between 220° and 290°K. McCall and Falcone²¹ reported the same minimum in their determination of $T_{1\rho}$ but observed no effects on values of T_1 . The present data indicated the presence of the corresponding weak minima in the T_1 -temperature plot, one at ~417°K and the other at ~370°K.

The measurements for T_1 for polycarbonate at different frequencies confirmed these results. Figure 2 shows the T_1 data at six different frequencies between 18 and 60 MHz. At resonant frequencies above 23 MHz, the two minima became sufficiently separated to be detected. The activation energies deduced for the two motions were 14 and 6 kcal/mole, respectively. The minima at the lower temperature correlated well with the dielectric and broad-line NMR results of Matsuoka and Ishida.¹⁶ This motion was ascribed to restricted motion of the main chain which involved both phenyl



Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the relaxation time T_1 for bisphenol A polycarbonate at various frequencies: (\bullet) 60 MHz; (\bigcirc) 40 MHz; (\blacktriangledown) 30 MHz; (\blacksquare) 23 MHz; (\Box) 20.6 MHz; (\Box) 18 MHz.



Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of the relaxation time T_1 for bisphenol A (\blacksquare) and bisphenol A polycarbonate (\bullet) at 20.6 MHz.

groups as seen by the NMR relaxations in the above results and wide-line NMR measurements,^{16,22,23} and the carbonate group in the polycarbonate chain as seen by dielectric¹⁶ and mechanical²⁴ relaxation measurements. The T_1 minimum at the higher temperature correlated well with isolated dielectric measurements on the transition map for polycarbonate,⁵ and it was ascribed to localized segmental motion of the chain. The most recent IR-spectroscopic evidence for motions of the polycarbonate chain backbone below T_g^{25} supports this assignment.

The temperature dependence of T_1 for bisphenol A polycarbonate and bisphenol A at 20.6 MHz are compared in Figure 3. The results indicated that all the observed transitions in polycarbonate, except one (T_{δ}) , were connected with the motions of the macromolecular chain. The T_{δ} transition which was associated with the rotation of side methyl group in polycarbonate was the only transition observed for bisphenol A. The distribution of nonequivalent CH_3 groups in a polymer chain of bisphenol A polycarbonate corresponding to a distribution of correlation times, as well as activation energies for this motion, was seen as an apparently lower intramethyl dipolar relaxation rate⁷ (higher T_1 value) and broader minimum eompared to the T_1 minimum for bisphenol A molecules.

Tetrabromobisphenol A Polycarbonate

The relaxation data for tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate are compared with data for bisphenol A polycarbonate in Figure 4. Table II summarizes the transitions observed. The substitution of the protons with bromine on the phenyl groups or the to the carbonate link of the polycarbonate resulted in a shift (by approximately 90°K) of the T_{δ} toward lower temperatures. A very shallow T_1 minimum was observed at approximately 140°K. The relaxation time for this motion, which was associated



Fig. 4. Temperature dependence of NMR relaxation times for bisphenol A polycarbonate
 (▲) and tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate (■).

TABLE II	
NMR Relaxation Results for Tetrabromobisphenol A	Polycarbonates

	log ve	Τ _α	T _β	T_{γ}	To
T_1 minimum	7.6	_	$\sim 455^{\circ} K$	_	~140°K
$T_{1\rho}$ minimum	5.1	487°K	\sim 410°K	$\sim 280 {}^{\circ}{ m K}$	

1286

with methyl group reorientation, decreased from 70 to 13 msec. The high-temperature side of the minimum had a slope which gave an approximate ΔE value of 1.2 kcal/mole for this motion.

Both motional minima associated with main chain motions were shifted toward higher temperatures. The T_{γ} transition ascribed to restricted rotation of phenyl and carbonate groups of the main polymer chain increased by ~40°K in relation to T_1 for bisphenol A polycarbonate. The $T_{1\rho}$ minimum for this motion was observed at 280°K. The corresponding T_1 minimum was hidden on the high-temperature side of the minimum for methyl group reorientation and was not observed. Similarly, the T_{β} transition increased by 38°K relative to bisphenol A polycarbonate at an experimental frequency of 30 MHz. A weak T_1 minimum for this transition was observed at 455°K. The corresponding $T_{1\rho}$ minimum was found at 410°K.

The $T_{1\rho}$ minimum associated with the glass transition (T_{α}) was observed at 487°K, which is 17°K higher than the corresponding transition for bisphenol A polycarbonate. The lack of mechanical and dielectric data prevents correlation of these results on a transition map.⁵ The mechanical measurements^{26,27} for tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate showed a shift from 180°K to 348°K for the T_{β} transition and from 445°K to 493°K for the T_{α} transition. Since the tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate showed a higher T_{ρ} than tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate, the above data are in good agreement with the experimental results. The presence of a bulky bromine on the bisphenol A unit of a polymer chain in a position ortho to carbonate groups restricts all the motions of the main chain, thus increasing the glass transition (T_{α}) temperature as well as the T_{β} and T_{γ} transition temperatures.

The immobilized motions of the main chain, particularly those of the phenyl group, caused an increase in motional freedom for the methyl groups due to reduced interference from the adjacent phenyl groups. The interference between the chains was reduced due to steric hindrance and the polarity of the bromine substituent. As a result, the T_{δ} transition de-This is inconsistent with wide-line NMR measurements²³ for creased. tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate in which the methyl group motion remained unaffected by the presence of halogen.^{28,29} The alternative interpretation of the observed temperature decrease of the T_1 minima is that the methyl group rotation occurred via quantum mechanical tunnelling. This phenomenon has been observed in polymers, and it was suggested that the mechanism of such a motion involves a periodic rotation.^{28,29} The value for the activation energy is in agreement with the reported value.

Tetrabromobisphenol A/Bisphenol A Copolymers

The relaxation data for two copolymers of different compositions are summarized in Figure 5. The observed minima are listed in Table III. The glass transition temperature (T_{α}) depended on the compositions of copolymers, as expected for homogeneous random copolymers.¹ The T_{δ}



Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of NMR relaxation times for bisphenol A/tetrabromobisphenol A copolymers for different compositions: (\bullet) 35 wt-% tetrabromobisphenol A copolymer; bisphenol A/65% bisphenol A copolymer; (\blacktriangle) 8 wt-% tetrabromobisphenol A/92 wt-% bisphenol A copolymer.

transition due to side group motion remained unchanged with copolymer composition, and it was approximately the same as the T_{δ} for bisphenol A polycarbonate. The results also indicated that the localized motions of the main chain in both copolymers studied retained the motional characteristics of the constituent homopolymers so that beta and gamma transitions each suggest two distinct transition temperatures, $T_{\beta BAP}$, $T_{\beta 4BrBAP}$ and $T_{\gamma BAP}$, $T_{\gamma 4BrBAP}$, corresponding to the bisphenol A polycarbonate and tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate homopolymers. Since both transitions involve small segments of the chain of one or two monomer units, these results are easily understood. Although there is an indication of the corresponding motional minima in the T_1 data, they were not sufficiently deparated to be determined.

Inspection of relaxation curves for bisphenol A polycarbonate and tetrabromobisphenol A homopolymer is Figure 4, in comparison with relaxation curves for copolymer with 35 wt-% tetrabromobisphenol A, showed that the values of the relaxation times at motional minima reflected the copolymer composition. This effect was most evident in a $T_{1\rho}$ plot for the β -transition because of the large differences between the relaxation times for the two homopolymers at T_{β}

Tetrachlorobisphenol A/Bisphenol A Copolymer

The relaxation data for 65 wt-% tetrachlorobisphenol A/bisphenol A copolymer (65% 4ClBAP/BAP) are given in Figure 6 and are compared

	BAI
	(4BrBAP/
	Copolymers
	V
Ш	A/Bisphenol
H	5
Ĩ	hen
TAF	vbromobispl
	etr
	or T
	ts fe
	Resul
	Relaxation
	NMR

						L	*	
Copolymer		log ve	T_{lpha}	BAP	4BrBAP	BAP	4BrBAP	T_{δ}
35% 4BrBAP/BAP	T_1 min	7.6	1		435°K	1		$\sim 230^{\circ} K$
	T_{1o} min	5.1	477°K	$(\sim 285^{\circ} \mathrm{K})$?	$\sim 410^{\circ} { m K}$	$\sim 220^{\circ}{ m K}$	\sim (270°K)?	$\sim 155^{\circ} K$
8% 4BrBAP/BAP	T_1 min	7.6	1	I	1	$\sim 370^{\circ} K$	1	\sim 230°K
	T_{1o} min	5.1	470°K	$(\sim 285^{\circ} \mathrm{K})$?	$\sim 410^{\circ}{ m K}$	$\sim 220^{\circ}{ m K}$	$(\sim 270^{\circ} \mathrm{K})$?	$\sim 155^{\circ} { m K}$
BAP homopolymer	T_1 min	7.6	ł	~417°K		$\sim 370^{\circ} { m K}$		$\sim 230^{\circ} { m K}$
1	T_{1o} min	5.1	470°K	$\sim 285^{\circ} { m K}$		$\sim 220^{\circ}{ m K}$		$\sim\!155^{\circ}{ m K}$
4BrBAP homopolymer	T_1 min	7.6]		$\sim 455^{\circ}K$		1	$\sim 140^{\circ}{ m K}$
	$T_{1\rho}$ min	5.1	487°K		$\sim 410^{\circ} { m K}$		$\sim 280^{\circ} { m K}$	I



Fig. 6. Temperature dependence of NMR relaxation times for bisphenol A polycarbonate
 (●) and 65 wt-% tetrachlorobisphenol A/35 wt-% bisphenol A copolymer (▲).

with those for bisphenol A polycarbonate. The results were very similar to those for tetrabromobisphenol A copolymers and can be interpreted in the same way. The glass transition temperature was higher and in agreement with dilatometric measurements of the T_{g} for tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate and tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate. Because of relatively high percentage of tetrachlorobisphenol A in the copolymer composition, the minima associated with the T_{β} and T_{γ} transitions in the T_1 data were not effective enough to be observed. The $T_{1\rho}$ plot showed less distinctive minima for the same transitions than the same plot for tetrabromobisphenol A copolymers. It was also difficult to decide whether the restricted motions of carbonate and phenyl group in all monomer units of the copolymer were shifted to higher temperature or if the rotations in the bisphenol A units $(T_{\gamma BAP})$ were not effective enough at the higher 4ClBAP compositions of the copolymer to be observed. Note that the temperature of the δ -transition is the same as that for bisphenol A polycarbonate (Table IV).

TABLE IV NMR Relaxation Data for 65% 4ClBAP/BAP

			Tβ		Tγ		
	log ve	T_{α}	4ClBAP	BAP	4ClBAP	BAP	T_{δ}
$T_1 \min$	7.6						∼240°K
$T_{1\rho} \min$	5.1	488°K	$\sim 400 {\rm ^oK}$		(~270°K)?	_	$\sim 155^{\circ} K$

GENERAL DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Based on the transitions of bisphenol A polycarbonate and other constituent homopolymers, molecular motions in homogeneous polymer systems based on bisphenol A polycarbonate were studied over a wide temperature range. Using high ($\sim 10^8$ Hz) and medium ($\sim 10^5$ Hz) experimental frequencies, characteristic changes in all of the examined materials were observed. The interpretation of these effects in qualitative and given in terms of the component materials of the system.

The NMR relaxation results for pure polycarbonate obtained from our experiments confirmed previously observed transitions (α , γ , δ) and indicated another transition for the first time. This transition has been designated as a β -transition and has been ascribed to localized motion of the main chain. The unusual physical properties of polycarbonate can be discussed in view of these results. The high impact strength of the polymer was related to the low-temperature loss peak by Heijboer.²⁴ He also suggested that low-temperature transition $(T < T_{o})$ would improve roomtemperature impact strength of a glassy polymer if the transition arose from the motion in the backbone chain but not if a side group were involved. On the other hand, it was estimated that the secondary loss peak in polycarbonate (designated γ), although very pronounced, absorbs only 20% of the applied energy.³

It was also found that polycarbonate has an unusually large fractional free volume in the glassy state, i.e., about 0.16 compared to an average of 0.11 for all polymers, values which in turn are proportional to impact strengths. Several investigators independently proposed that transitions lying below T_{σ} generate extra free volume in the glassy state.³ The presence of localized segmental motions (T_{β}) , in addition to restricted cooperative motions of phenyl and carbonate groups (T_{γ}) , explains the above findings. The β -transition is particularly significant because a relationship between motions of the chain segments and high impact strength of glassy polycarbonate was proposed recently.³⁰

Measurements for tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate showed the expected effects. The presence of a bulky bromine restricted the motions of the main polymer chain and increased T_{α} , T_{β} , and T_{γ} . This resulted in increased tensile strength and modulus but impaired the toughness and impact properties of the material. Data on mechanical relaxation and physical properties^{26,27} showed that tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate has a similar behavior, except that the general segmental motions of the polymer chain were even more restricted, which was reflected in a high T_{q} (Table V).

The mobility of the side methyl group (T_{δ}) was either increased or unaffected by the halogen substitution. The results showed that this does not influence the impact properties of the material and confirms Heijboer's proposal that the secondary transitions which involve side group motions do not affect impact strength.²⁴

Polycarbonate from	Impact strength, cm·kg/cm ³	Elong., %	Tensile strength, kg/cm²	T _o , °C
Bisphenol A	900	180	820	149
Tetrachloro-				
bisphenol A	35	10	1154	180
Tetrachloro-				
bisphenol A	36	8	1112	157

TABLE V Data on Polycarbonates

^a From Schnell.²⁷

The copolymers of bisphenol A with tetrabromobisphenol A or tetrachlorobisphenol A polycarbonate showed a single glass transition temperature whose value lay between the values for the two homopolymers, the behavior expected for such systems. The NMR results also showed multiple secondary transitions which could be identified with the transitions of the corresponding homopolymers. The magnitude of these transitions was proportional to the comonomer. It was further found that copolymer composition had little or no effect on low temperature transitions associated with the side group motion.

The impact strength and flexural modulus data for these copolymers are given in Table VI. The data for bisphenol A polycarbonate and tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate homopolymers are also included. The results showed that even a low percentage of tetrabromobisphenol A in the copolymer impaired the impact strength of the material.

TABLE VI

Impact Strength and Flexural Modulus Data for Bisphenol A Polycarbonate (BAP), Tetrabromobisphenol A polycarbonate (4BrBAP), and Their Copolymers of Different Composition

Material	Impact strength, ft-lb/in. of notch	Flexural modulus, psi $ imes 10^3$
4BrBAP	0.45	
35 wt-% 4BrBAP/BAP	0.65	413
8 wt-% 4BrBAP/BAP	1.97	500
BAP	16.0	370

Supported by the National Research Council of Canada, the Department of University Affairs of the Province of Ontario, and the Defence Research Board of Canada. The receipt of Ontario Graduate Fellowships is acknowledged. Fruitful discussions were held with Professor J. P. Carver of the Department of Cell Biology, University of Toronto, who offered many suggestions and permitted the use of the NMR instrument. Professor M. M. Pintar, Department of Physics, University of Waterloo, supplied the facilities for initial experiments and made helpful suggestions.

References

1. R. F. Boyer, Rubber Chem. Technol., 36, 1303 (1963).

2. R. F. Boyer, J. Polym. Sci., C-14, 267 (1966).

3. R. F. Boyer, Polym. Eng. Sci., 8, 161 (1968).

4. R. F. Boyer, Plast. Polym., 41, 15, 71 (1973).

5. D. W. McCall, Proc. 2nd Symp. Molecular Dynamics, Nat. Bur. Stand. 1967, NBS Serial Publication No. 301, R. S. Cater and J. J. Rush, Eds., June 1969, p. 475.

6. N. G. McCrum, B. E. Read, and G. Williams, Anelastic and Dielectric Effects in Polymeric Solids, Wiley, New York, 1967.

7. D. Stefan, H. L. Williams, D. R. Renton, and M. M. Pintar, J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys., B4, 853 (1970).

8. W. P. Slichter, J. Polym. Sci., C-14, 33 (1966).

9. W. P. Slichter, Rubber Chem. Technol., 34, 1574 (1961).

10. I. Ya. Slonim and A. N. Lyubimov, The NMR of Polymers, Plenum Press, New York, 1970.

11. J. C. Powles, Polymer, I, 219 (1960).

12. D. C. Ailion and C. P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. Lett., 12, 168 (1964).

13. C. P. Slichter and D. C. Ailion, Phys. Rev., 135, A1099 (1964).

14. D. C. Ailion and C. P. Slichter, Phys. Rev., 137, A235 (1965).

15. H. S. Gutowsky, A. Saika, M. Takeda, and D. S. Woessner, J. Chem. Phys., 27, 534 (1957).

16. S. Matsuoka and Y. Ishida, J. Polym. Sci., C-14, 247 (1966).

17. Bruker-Physik A. G., NMR Pulsed Spectrometers, Operational Manual, Bruker-Physik A. G., 7501 Karlsruhe-Forchheim, Germany 1967.

18. Bruker-Physik A. G., Variable Temperature Control Unit, B-ST 100/700, Operation Manual, Bruker-Physik A. G., 7501 Karlsruhe-Forchheim, Germany 1967.

19. N. Bloembergen, E. M. Purcell, and R. V. Pound, Phys. Rev., 73, 679 (1948).

20. D. C. Douglass and G. P. Jones, J. Chem. Phys., 45, 956 (1966).

21. D. W. McCall and D. R. Falcone, Trans. Far. Soc., 66, 262 (1970).

22. G. Vosskötter and R. Kosfeld, Kolloid Z.-Z. Polym., 216-217, 85 (1967).

23. L. J. Garfield, Paper A 12-4, Preprints, Int. Symp. Macromol. Chem., Toronto, Sept. 1968.

24. J. Heijboer, J. Polym Sci., C-16, 3755 (1968).

25. I. V. Yannas and A. S. Lunn, Polym. Lett., 9, 611 (1971).

26. W. E. Wolstenholme, Polym. Eng. Sci., 8, 142 (1968).

27. H. Schnell, Ind. Eng. Chem., 51, 157 (1959).

28. D. W. McCall, D. C. Douglass, V. J. McBrierty, and M. J. R. Hoch, *Disc. Fara*day Soc., 48, 205 (1969).

29. V. J. McBrierty, D. W. McCall, D. C. Douglass, and D. R. Falcone, J. Chem. Phys., 52, 512 (1970).

30. G. Locati and A. V. Tobolsky, Advan. Mol. Relaxation Process, I, 375 (1970).

Received September 19, 1973 Revised November 26, 1973